Cross-border planning issues – the case of Lublin region (Poland)
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Strategy of cross border cooperation creates the chance to development
Regions categories

Categories of region (Strassoldo-Graffenberg 1974; Martinez 1999; Sanguin 1983; Seger and Beluszky 1993):

**integrated regions** (A), with borders not representing a significant spatial barrier to flows, with the effect that integration as regards the economy and social relations becomes possible,

**cooperating regions** (B), where the border does impede flows to a greater or lesser extent, while the dependent relationships between adjacent areas take on the form of various kinds of symbiosis,

**isolated regions** (C), in which borders interfere with all kinds of flows, making it impossible for areas on either side to link up

Poland – Germany, Czech Rep., Slovakia

2007 - ...

Poland – Ukraine, Belarus

1992 - ....

Poland – USSR

1945-1992
Regions located either side of a given border may be treated as barometers of the political and cultural transformations taking place in neighbouring countries (Kaplan and Häkli 2002). The permeability of a border has a major impact in shaping the economic situation of border regions (van Houtum 1999). European Union as a whole is affected by its internal and external border regimes (Magone 2009).

At present-day Europe borders have become multi-functional and have been more and more often perceived not only as a division between political entities and people, but also as something that may be overcome (Grabbe 2000).
Difficulty of Euroregion Bug cooperation:

1) Large spatial and administrative scale of cross-border region

2) In Ukraine an administrative (governmental) and partly self-governmental model in Poland self-governmental model
Region is among the poorest ones in Poland (and in the European Union)

The economy of the Lublin region is based on agriculture

The infrastructure is poorly developed

Source: Cross-border Strategy ... 2014
Historical background

Eastern boundary of Gothic churches location

Budapest 25 April 2019

Cross-border planning issues
Borders history
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Stability of borders,

P. Haggett 1972, *Geography: A modern synthesis*
Example of diversification
Until 2000 the documents prepared so far regarding cross-border cooperation were created at the Polish initiative with a lack of participation of Belarusian and Ukrainian side.

A treaty of good neighborliness (Poland-Ukraine) - 1992

But cross-border cooperation were established in 1992 and resulted in 1995 the creation of the Cross Border Association Euroregion BUG (spatial organization, transport and communication, culture and education, nature protection, cooperation, etc.)

- Brest Oblast on the cross-border cooperation dated 31 March, 2000,
- Volyn Oblast on the economic, trade, scientific-technical and cultural cooperation dated 1 October, 2002,
European College of Polish and Ukrainian Universities


CROSS BORDER COOPERATION STRATEGY
OF THE LUBELSKIE VOIVODESHIP, LVIV, VOLYN
AND BREST OBLASTS

FOR 2014 – 2020
1. **cooperation** and consideration of strategic goals for the cooperation of cross border regions;

2. **preparation of development priorities** and a catalogue of undertakings leading to the change of the cross border regions’ function and to their broader opening to cooperation and mutual benefits;

3. **stimulating the development** processes of border regions;

4. **promoting good neighborly relations** in the border regions;

5. improvement of efficiency and promotion of the region and its ability to **attract external investments**;

6. preparation of tasks and priorities of a new European Neighborhood Policy and a new Cross Border Cooperation Programme Poland-Belarus-Ukraine.
Strategy is not classical planning document (created for needs of administrative units) and has functional character with ideas and propositions for all three regions.

The time horizon of the Strategy includes a 7-year programming period, consistent with the EU financial perspective for 2014-2020.

The basic source of data used for diagnostic purposes were the resources of public statistics of Poland, Belarus and Ukraine.
### Table 2.1. Gross Domestic Product in 2010 (current prices).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List</th>
<th>Gross Domestic Product</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total (mil euro)</td>
<td>per 1 inhabitant (in euro)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>352 881</td>
<td>9 240,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubelskie Voivodeship</td>
<td>13 462,2</td>
<td>6 247,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>41 613,5</td>
<td>4 384,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brest Oblast</td>
<td>4 346,3</td>
<td>3 111,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>102 616,3</td>
<td>2 237,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lviv Oblast</td>
<td>3 949,1</td>
<td>1 550,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volyn Oblast</td>
<td>1 368,0</td>
<td>1 319,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Own work on the basis of the data of Statistical Office in Lublin, Main Statistical Office of the Lviv Oblast and the Main Statistical Office of the Volyn Oblast.

### Fig. 2.1. The mid-year national GDP growth between 2004-2010 (current prices).
One of the main barriers for the economic development on the analyzed cross-border area is the lack of capital; therefore the inflow of foreign investment is of paramount importance.
Foreign trade is an important element of business activity. International trade may, in this context, serve as both the relatively easily measurable endogenous potential of the analyzed territorial units as well as the measure of their functional links with other states.

Border trade has a big influence on the economic condition of the analyzed cross border region.

Table 2.3. Spending by foreigners in Poland and Poles abroad on the external border of the Lubelskie Voivodeship (in million PLN).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List</th>
<th>Foreigners</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Poles</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total spending</td>
<td>1 008,0</td>
<td>1 867,0</td>
<td>2 107,9</td>
<td>2 879,8</td>
<td>202,4</td>
<td>237,9</td>
<td>211,0</td>
<td>205,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total goods purchases</td>
<td>991,3</td>
<td>1 843,4</td>
<td>2 076,1</td>
<td>2 827,8</td>
<td>195,5</td>
<td>228,1</td>
<td>204,8</td>
<td>197,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Including:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food products</td>
<td>160,1</td>
<td>248,0</td>
<td>242,0</td>
<td>334,9</td>
<td>38,4</td>
<td>32,2</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td>20,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-food products</td>
<td>831,2</td>
<td>1 595,4</td>
<td>1 834,1</td>
<td>2 490,9</td>
<td>122,9</td>
<td>160,3</td>
<td>149,3</td>
<td>147,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining expenditure</td>
<td>16,7</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>31,8</td>
<td>51,5</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td>7,7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own work on the basis of data of Main Statistical Office.
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Negative population growth rate and negative migration balance

One of the biggest threats to the socio-economic development of the analyzed cross-border macroregion is the gradually progressing process of depopulation. The last several years have witnessed a systematic decrease of the population of the analyzed cross-border macroregion, with demographic forecasts not showing any possibility of the trend’s reversal.

The employment structure of the analyzed cross-border region is characterized with significant participation rate of persons employed in the broadly understood agricultural sector.
Location of the analyzed area on the main transit trails between the west and the east of Europe is, therefore, beneficial and constitutes a solid basis for the development of international road and railway transport systems.
STRATEGIC ACTIVITIES:

- economic cooperation, understood as creating conditions for external capital to invest in the cross border region,
- natural environment, culture and tourism,
- transport and border infrastructure (roads, railway, border crossings),
- science and higher education.
High values of nature and wildlife
Multicultural heritage
Similar languages
Openness to cross-border cooperation
Transit location
Increase of interests in cross-bor. coop.

Low level of development
Monofunctional areas (agriculture)
Low level of road infrastructure
Tragic history
Unfavourable demographic processes

Barriers and challenges

excessive expectations of the benefits of cooperation
excessive politicization of cooperation
lack of competence of the authorities to cooperate
no coherent law
lack of knowledge and experience
asymmetry of economic potential

Source: Cross-Border Cooperation Strategy
Changes in the number of population 1970-2006

Banalce of migration per 1000 inhabitant in 2006 r.
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How you estimate commune development?
Structure of the response to the question: “How do you assess the neighbours living across the border?”

a – I like them and would like to cooperate with them; b – I do not like them and would not like to cooperate with them; c – I am indifferent with respect to them, I do not have any opinion on their subject.

Structure of the response to the question: “Do you perceive in the municipality the investment projects subsidized by the European Union?”

a – yes; b – no; c – I do not know
My investigation

Strong points of local development in the opinion of inhabitants

- others
- local activity
- clean food production
- neighbourhood
- tourist attraction
- border crossing
- localization
- environment

Weak points of local development in the opinion of inhabitants

- others
- lack of cooperations
- border as barrier
- far distance to border
- peripheral location
- incompetent local authorities
- lack of investors
- lack of infrastructure
- domination of agriculture
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POLAND

UKRAINE

BIELARUS

actual EU policy

history

economic cooperation

Political cooperation

positive

indifferent

negative
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The current cross-border cooperation strategy is an ideological document which forms the basis for proper development planning.

The contemporary eastern boundary constitutes a specific spatial barrier but cumulate positive effects, too. Near-border areas close to border crossings, these evidently activating inhabitants and local authorities alike. In turn, those communes located far from border crossings suffer from an economic stagnation that encourages yet-further peripherisation.

There exist development differences between the Polish-Belarusian borderland and the Polish-Ukrainian one, resulting from the adoption of different models of political and economic development in the neighbouring countries. The area bordering with Belarus is actually developing better and more dynamically.

What should be the administrative scale of the cross-border region? Is the current region / district scale effective?